

# REGISTRATION OF NAMES BY THE SCHEDULED CASTE CANDIDATES IN THE OFFICE OF THE EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE, BELGAUM.

\*Q.—996. Sri V. L. PATIL (Raibag).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the total number of scheduled caste candidates registered their names in the office of the Employment Exchange, Belgaum, since 1st November 1956 (separate figures of Graduates and S.S.C. candidates may be furnished);

(b) the total number of candidates employed so far as on 1st July 1961 (separate figures for graduates and S.S.C. to be furnished);

(c) the steps they have taken to provide Government jobs for the remaining candidates as early as possible ?

A.—Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Minister for Law and Labour).—

(a)—

No. of S.S.C.	No. of Graduates.	Total.
445	8	453
(b) 92	4	96

(c) Instructions have been issued to the District Employment Officers to pay special attention for securing suitable employment for the scheduled caste candidates.

## MYSORE LAND REFORMS BILL 1961 AS REPORTED BY JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE.

### *Motion to suspend Rule 271*

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—I beg to move :

“That the provisions of rule 271 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly be suspended in relation to the motion relating to the Land Reforms Bill”.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the provisions of rule 271 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly be suspended in relation to the motion relating to the Land Reforms Bill.”

†Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—On the other day we represented that the general discussion on the Bill should be extended by a day or so. Of course, the Deputy Leader who is in charge of the Bill was of the

opinion that the discussion should not be extended and it should be completed on Tuesday only and therefore you were pleased to fix the time limit.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It was put to the House and accepted.

†Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—We have decided that the time should not be extended and that general discussion should be completed on Tuesday only. Now you are coming forward to suspend the rule, for what purpose. Every time it becomes the habit of the Government to come forward to suspend the provisions of the rules. On certain occasions you were allowing it under special circumstances, but here I find that there is no need to suspend this rule because we all know that there is a simultaneous discussion on the Bill, one in this House and the other at the Residency. They have come to a decision regarding ceiling. Therefore, there is no need to suspend the rule and extend general discussion by one more day. Further, if you allow it, then he is curtailing the time allotted for consideration of the Bill, clause by clause, because the House has accepted five days....

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—Is it rule 271 ?

Mr. SPEAKER.— Yes.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—We are going to revoke two decisions of the House. Whatever we decided, we must respect it. There is no need to come forward move that the rule be suspended. If you suspend the rule, then we are not respecting the two decisions of the House: one is that the general discussion should be over by Tuesday; the other is curtailing one day from the allotted time for the consideration of the Bill, clause by clause. So I appeal to you and to the Government not to suspend the rule and to respect the two decisions of the House.

†Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Gubbi).—Sir, I support my friend. On the day the Business Advisory Committee Report was presented in this House, my friend Mr. Narasimhan moved an amendment and the Chief Minister was so furious and he got the matter rejected. We appealed to him through the Chair to extend the time by one day for general discussion but he was not for it. I do not know what intention, what idea is there behind this motion that is moved now for the extension of one more day. As my friend said, there is some difference of opinion in their party about the ceiling and therefore they want one more day for taking up the bill clause by clause. Let them take 2 days, we have no objection. But, this is not the way. You have been repeatedly saying that the time as decided by the Business Advisory Committee should not be extended unless it is a very extra-ordinary circumstance, where the House is willing to extend the time.

The other day when the Leader of Opposition informed the decision of the Business Advisory Committee fixing the number of days for general discussion and discussion on clause by clause, I had to quarrel with him. Now you want extension of time as against the decision of

(Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA)

that Committee. This is something creating trouble between ourselves on the opposite side. I do not want to use any harsh words Sir; the Chief Minister is creating a mischief. So, Sir, let the Revenue Minister now come forward with his reply to the debate and then we shall proceed to clause by clause of the Bill. When I raised this point yesterday and demanded the reply from the Government, the Hon'ble Deputy Speaker who was in the Chair simply said that it was not the appropriate time and hurriedly adjourned the House saying that it would meet at 1 p. m. and went away. I am not accusing the Chair. I only said what was working in his mind. Sir, I do not know whether the Government is earnest in its attempt to get this bill passed. There is so much of quarrel among themselves in the party. Our sisters on the other side are quarrelling for Stree Dhana and some of our friends want to reduce the ceiling to 10 or 15 standard acres and all that is going on. At this rate, will it be possible for us to complete the bill by the 11th as originally decided Sir? Because there is provision to put the motion to the House and get it adopted is not proper. I humbly submit to the Chair not to suspend this rule but ask the Government to come forward with a reply to the general debate.

MR. SPEAKER.—It is not the Chair that suspends the rule; it is left to the House to agree to it. When the motion is admissible, I cannot help it.

SRI C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, I know that. This is a rule recognised and passed by this House. Let the Government respect it and allow the Chair to use its discretion. Even if you are helpless, I think, this Government has no respect for any rule or convention.

MR. SPEAKER.—There is no question of the Chair being helpless. After all, there is a motion and when the motion is admissible, I have to put it to the House.

SRI B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—Sir, most of the argument advanced by Sri Muckannappa is irrelevant as usual. At the same time, he wanted to attribute a motive to the Government. He said that the Chief Minister created mischief but he himself is creating mischief on the other hand. Sir, he wanted to make out that there is difference in the Party about ceiling. It is not true; we are unanimous and we have decided everything unanimously. Why he should bother about our Party, I cannot understand. We have requested that one more day may be allotted for general discussion on the Bill. Whenever such requests are made from the other side, we have accepted. There must be give and take policy. There is no use of Mr. Muckannappa's creating difficulties in this respect.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the provisions of rule 271 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly be suspended in relation to the above motion.”

*The motion was adopted.*

*Motion to vary allocation of time order,*

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I also beg to move :

“That the allocation of time order be varied and general discussion be extended by one more day and the time allotted for clause by clause consideration reduced by one day.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the allocation of time order be varied and general discussion be extended by one more day and the time allotted for clause by clause consideration reduced by one day.”

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, I have an amendment. I move :

“That the words ‘and the time allotted for clause by clause consideration reduced by one day’ be deleted.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the words ‘and the time allotted for clause by clause consideration reduced by one day’ be deleted.”

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I accept the amendment.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ನಾನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕಾದುದೇನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನೇನೋ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ಬೇರೆ ವಿಚಾರ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಮುಕ್ತಾಣ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಅವರು ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಚರ್ಚೆಯ ಕಾಲ ನಿಗದಿ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಆರೋಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಸಮಜಾಯಿಷಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಎರಡು ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಭಾ ನಾಯಕರಿಗೂ ಬಹಳ ವಿದವಂತಿಕೆಯ ವಿಚಾರ ದೇಶಾದ್ಯಂತವೂ ಜನಜನಿತವಾಗಿದೆ. ಹೀಗಿರುವಾಗ ಅವರೂ ನಾನೂ ಒಂದಾಗುವ ಸಂಭವ ವೆಲ್ಲದೆ ಅವರ ಈಗ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸವೇ ಸಭೆಯು ಏಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಆರು ಘಂಟೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸವೇ ಬಿಜೆಸ್ ಅಡ್ವೈಸರಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಅಧೀನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮುಗಿಯಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತೆಂಬ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾಪತಿಗಳ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಭಾ ನಾಯಕರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದೆ ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ಮಾನ್ಯ ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಸಚಿವರು ಸರಿಯಾದ ಕಾಲ ಬಂದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಅವರು ಈ ಕಾಲವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸತಕ್ಕ ವಿಚಾರವೆಲ್ಲ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಆಗ ನನಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ನಾಯಕರು ಅವರ ಪಾರ್ಲಿಯಂಟ್ ಇನ್ನೂ ಎರನೆಯದ್ದು ಅವರು ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ಧಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದಾಗಿ ನನಗೆ ಅನುಭವವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದಿತ್ತು. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಾನೂ ಕೂಡ ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ಸುಮ್ಮನಾದೆನು.

(ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಮುರಾರಾಧ.)

ಅದರ ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ಈ ಕಾಲ ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ಈ ಜನರರ ಅಧಿನಿಯೋಜನೆಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಾಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾ ನಾಯಕರು ಅದಿವಸ ಹಾಗೆ ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿತು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಬಹಳ ಕಟುವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಆಗುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಕಡೆ ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗುವುದು ಇದರ ಉದ್ದೇಶವೇನೆಂದರೆ ಇನ್ನಷ್ಟು ಜನ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಎಲ್ಲರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಕ್ರೋಢೀಕರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಭ್ಯಂತರವಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದು ಆಗಿದೆ. ಮಾತನಾಡಲು 20 ನಿಮಿಷ ಇರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಏಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿದೆನು ಎಂದು ಜಗಳವಾಯಿತು. ಇದು ಗಂಡ-ಹೆಂಡಿರ ಜಗಳದ ಹಾಗೆ ಇದೆ. ಈ ಗಂಡ-ಹೆಂಡಿರ ಜಗಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದು mischief ವೋ ಯಾವುದು ಪ್ರಣಯವೋ ಗೊತ್ತೇ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಒಂದು ದಿವಸದ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು-ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಪಾರ್ಲಿಯಂಟ್ ಬಹಳ ಸೌಹಾರ್ದದಿಂದ ಒಪ್ಪಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆ.

1-30 P.M.

I know that a situation will arise having regard to the large number of amendments that have been already tabled which are now in the hands of the Secretary. I want to assure my friend Sri Muckannappa that he may say anything against the Leader of the Opposition, I am not going to misunderstand him.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I accept the amendment, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

That the words : “..... and the time allotted for clause by clause consideration reduced by one day.” be deleted.

*The amendment was adopted.*

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will put the amended motion to the House. The question is :

“That the allocation of time order be varied and general discussion be extended by one more day.”

*The motion was adopted.*

## THE MYSORE LAND REFORMS BILL 1961 AS REPORTED BY JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE.

*Motion to consider—Debate—(contd.)*

† ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ಎಲ್.ಪು. (ಹೊಳೆನರಸೀಪುರ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೆ, ಇಂಥ ಮಹತ್ವರವಾದ ಮಸೂದೆ ಕಾನೂನಾಗಿ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಾಗ್ಯೇ ಯಾವ ತರದ ಹಿನ್ನೆರೆ ಯಾವತರದ ವಾತಾವರಣ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗುರುತರ ಪಾತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು. ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಭೂಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಮಸೂದೆಯಲ್ಲಿ